TP53: CanVIG-UK Gene-Specific Guidance



Date: 25/05/2023 Version: 1.6

A Garrett¹, S.Allen¹, L Loong¹, M Durkie², J. Drummond³, G.J. Burghel⁴, R. Robinson⁵, A Callaway^{6,7}, J. Field⁷, T. McDevitt⁸, T. McVeigh⁹, H. Hanson^{1,10}, C.Turnbull^{1,9}

 Division of Genetics and Epidemiology, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK.
 Sheffield Diagnostic Genetics Service, NEY Genomic Laboratory Hub, Sheffield Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK

3) East Anglian Medical Genetics Service, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

4) Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine and NW Laboratory Genetics Hub, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

5) Yorkshire Regional Genetics Service, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK

6) Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory, Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust, Salisbury, UK
7) Genomics and Molecular Medicine Service, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

8) Department of Clinical Genetics, CHI at Crumlin, Dublin, Ireland

9) The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Fulham Road, London

10) St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Tooting, London, UK

CanVIG-UK review of TP53 Jan 2020. Consensus to use <u>TP53 ClinGen Expert Group guidance</u> with additional points of specification as below.

Relevant documents:

(i) <u>ClinGen TP53 Expert Panel Specifications v1_2.1</u>

(ii) Corresponding HMG publication from ClinGen Expert group (Fortuno et al 2020)

(iii) New surveillance guidelines for Li-Fraumeni and hereditary *TP53* related cancer syndrome: implications for germline *TP53* testing in breast cancer (Evans and Woodward 2020).

For use in conjunction with the ClinGen TP53 VCEP Guidance. Evidence lines for which there are no gene-specific recommendations should be reviewed in context of the ClinGen TP53 VCEP Guidance.

Evidence element and evidence strengths allowed		Thresholds/data-sources/applications specifically relevant to TP53	
PS4: Case-control: The prevalence of the variant in	_VSTR	Exclusion of CHIP should be considered where P/LP	
affected individuals is significantly increased	_STR	variant is detected in cases for which (i) there is no	
compared with the prevalence in controls	_MOD	familial transmission evident AND (ii) VAF<40% AND	
	_SUP	(iii) phenotype is equivocal. Testing of normal	
		tumour tissue is recommended if possible;	
		otherwise testing of fibroblasts from skin biopsy	
		should be considered). See flowchart in Evans and	
		Woodward 2020	
PM2: Absent from controls (or at extremely low	_MOD		
frequency if recessive) in ESP, 1000GP, or ExAC	_SUP		
PVS1: Predicted null variant (in a gene where LOF is	_VSTR		
a known mechanism of disease)	_STR		
	_MOD		
	_SUP		
PS1: Same amino acid change as an established	_STR	For PM1_mod: the ≥10 occurrences on	
variant		cancerhotspots.org, must be of the exact same	
PM4: Protein-length-changing variant	_MOD	amino acid substitution.	
	_SUP		
PM5: Novel missense change at an amino acid	STR	For PM1_sup: ≥5 occurrences on	
residue where a different missense change	_MOD	cancerhotspots.org, where the exact same amino	
determined to be pathogenic seen before	_SUP	acid substitution counts as 1 occurrence and	

Evidence towards Pathogenicity

SUP	substitution of a different amino acid at the same
	residue counts as 0.5 of an occurrence.
STR	For PS1/PM5, reference variants should be classified
MOD	as P/LP by ClinGen Expert Group. Until such a list
_	exists, we suggest using 'or equivalent' to define a
	reference P/LP variant
_VSTR	
STR	
MOD	
_	
_	
_SUP	
_STR	
_MOD	
_SUP	
-	

Evidence towards Benignity

whence towards beinginty		
BA1/BS1: Allele frequency is "too high" in ExAC or	_SA	
gnomAD for disorder	_STR	
BS2: Observation in controls inconsistent with	_STR	
disease penetrance. Observed in a healthy adult	_SUP	
individual for a recessive (homozygous), dominant		
(heterozygous), or X-linked (hemizygous) disorder,		
with full penetrance expected at an early age		
BP4: In silico: Multiple lines of computational	_SUP	
evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene		
product (conservation, evolutionary, splicing		
impact, etc.)		
BP1: Missense variant in a gene for which	_SUP	
primarily truncating variants are known to cause		
disease		
BP7: Synonymous (silent) variant for which splicing	_SUP	
prediction algorithms predict no impact to the		
splice consensus sequence		
BP3: In-frame deletions/insertions in a repetitive	_SUP	
region		
BS3: Well-established in vitro or in vivo functional	_STR	
studies show no damaging effect on protein	_MOD	
function or splicing	_SUP	

BS4: Non segregation with disease	_STR
	_SUP
BP2: Observed in trans with a pathogenic variant	_STR
for a fully penetrant dominant gene/disorder or	_SUP
observed in cis	
BP6: Reputable source recently reports variant as	_STR
benign, but the evidence is not available to the	_SUP
laboratory to perform an independent evaluation	
BP5: Alternate molecular basis for disease	_SUP

Revised version	Date	Section	Update	Amended by	Approved by
1.5	04/03/22	PM1	Recommendations for application at supporting level of evidence. Clarification that PM1_mod to be applied where ≥10 occurrences of exactly the same amino acid substitution	Garrett	CStAG
1.6	25/05/23		Clarified to use guidance in conjunction with ClinGen guidance	Allen	