

MMR: CanVIG-UK Gene-Specific Guidance



Date: 25/05/2022 Version: 1.5

A Garrett¹, L Loong¹, L King¹, M Durkie², J. Drummond³, G.J. Burghel⁴, R. Robinson⁵, A Callaway^{6,7}, I. Berry⁵, A. Wallace⁴, E. Woodward⁴, G. Evans⁴, H. Hanson^{1,8}, C. Turnbull^{1,9}

- 1) Division of Genetics and Epidemiology, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK.
- 2) Sheffield Diagnostic Genetics Service, Sheffield Children's NHS Foundation Trust
- 3) East Anglian Medical Genetics Service, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
- 4) Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine and NW Laboratory Genetics Hub, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK
- 5) Yorkshire Regional Genetics Service, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
- 6) Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory, Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust, Salisbury, UK
- 7) Human Genetics and Genomic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
- 8) St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Tooting, London, UK
- 9) The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Fulham Road, London

For use in conjunction with CanVIG-UK Consensus Specification for Cancer susceptibility Genes of ACGS Best Practice Guidelines for Variant Classification. Evidence lines for which there are no gene-specific recommendations should be reviewed in context of CanVIG-UK Consensus Specification for Cancer Susceptibility Genes.

Evidence towards Pathogenicity

Evidence element and evidence strengths allowed		Thresholds/data-sources/applications specifically relevant to MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2							
PS4: Case-control: The prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared with the prevalence in controls	_VSTR _STR _MOD _SUP	NHSD case control data can be used for case-control analysis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Controls should represent appropriate ethnicity and sex. For MLH1 and MSH2, all non-cancer NFE gnomAD controls (both male and female) can be used, but for MSH6 and PMS2 only, female non-cancer NFE gnomAD controls should be used. • As this is an enriched series, OR\geq10 is required • Current data/denominator counts for base substitutions are available at CanVar-UK • For non-base-substitutions i.e. deletions/duplications/insertions, NHSD counts can be accessed from CanVIG-UK • For details of variant frequencies in non-white ethnicities, please contact CanVIG-UK • A variant observation cannot be included within the case count used for PS4 case-control analyses if the same family has been used for family history scoring within PP4 							
	PP4: Phenotypic specificity/case counting (Patient's phenotype or family history is highly specific for a disease with a single genetic aetiology)	_VSTR _STR _MOD _SUP	Tumour scoring: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Evidence Points</th> <th>Cellular/molecular phenotype</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td> For MLH1 variant with MLH1 promoter methylation status unknown <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MSI high AND/OR • Loss on immunohistochemistry (IHC) of MLH1+PMS2 AND/OR • Loss of MLH1 on IHC (PMS2 IHC status unknown) </td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td> Informative LOH at chromosomal locus of tumour-suppressor gene For MSH2, MSH6 variant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MSI high AND/OR • Loss on IHC of same single protein as variant AND/OR </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Evidence Points	Cellular/molecular phenotype	0.5	For MLH1 variant with MLH1 promoter methylation status unknown <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MSI high AND/OR • Loss on immunohistochemistry (IHC) of MLH1+PMS2 AND/OR • Loss of MLH1 on IHC (PMS2 IHC status unknown) 	1
Evidence Points	Cellular/molecular phenotype								
0.5	For MLH1 variant with MLH1 promoter methylation status unknown <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MSI high AND/OR • Loss on immunohistochemistry (IHC) of MLH1+PMS2 AND/OR • Loss of MLH1 on IHC (PMS2 IHC status unknown) 								
1	Informative LOH at chromosomal locus of tumour-suppressor gene For MSH2, MSH6 variant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MSI high AND/OR • Loss on IHC of same single protein as variant AND/OR 								

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss on IHC of relevant paired mismatch repair proteins e.g. for MSH2 variant, loss of MSH2+MSH6 <p>For MLH1 variant where MLH1 proven normal MLH1 promoter methylation status</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MSI high AND/OR Loss of MLH1+PMS2 on IHC AND/OR Loss of MLH1 on IHC (PMS2 IHC status unknown) <p>For PMS2 variant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MSI high AND/OR Loss of PMS2 alone on IHC AND/OR Loss of MLH1+PMS2 on IHC
--	--

- Points can be counted for MSI or IHC, not both
- Points can be counted for both LOH AND MSI/IHC
- Only individuals proven to carry the germline variant can contribute tumour data
- Multiple independent primary tumours can be counted from a single individual

Family History Scoring:

	Isolated single primary or first cancer in proband/family (≥50, 40-49, <40)	Additional family members* or cancers in proband; for each cancer (≥50, 40-49, <40):	Evidence Points
Colon (CRC), Endometrium (EC), TCC (renal pelvis/ureter only), small bowel	(2, 4, 6)	(4, 6, 8)	Divide the sum of family history scores across available families by 7 to get the evidence points <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7= 1EP= sup 14= 2EP= mod
Rectum, ovary, gastric, hepatobiliary, pancreas, TCC (bladder)	(1, 2, 3)	(2, 3, 4)	

- These scores have been derived from odds ratios of detection of MMR variants in Manchester data series (courtesy of Evans, Woodward)
- *For a multiplex family cluster of ≤3 cases, relatives should be FDRs of each other. In a family cluster of ≥4 cases, one unaffected intervening relative is allowed within the cluster
- The proband is the youngest case in the family with CRC/EC
- A family can only be included for family history scoring when there is concordant tumour data available supporting mismatch repair deficiency (i.e. MSI/IHC)
- A family cannot be used for family history scoring for PP4 if the same family has already been included within the case counts for case-control analyses within PS4 (but can be used for tumour scoring)
- The variant must be present in ≤2 individuals from the Non-Finnish European non-cancer population from gnomAD v2 and ≤1 individuals from each other ethnic group within the non-cancer populations of gnomAD v2
- Where family history score influences final classification (e.g. at VUS/likely pathogenic boundary), cancer family history should be confirmed through cancer registry
- The tumour scoring and family history scoring should be used in combination
- A single family can contribute no more than 2 evidence points
- The same individual can contribute to both tumour and family history scoring
- A maximum of 2 evidence points can be awarded for a single publication
- Cases used for PM3 (biallelic)/PP1 (segregation) cannot be used additionally for tumour/family history scoring within PP4

<p>PM2: Absent from controls (or at extremely low frequency if recessive) in ESP, 1000GP, or ExAC</p>	<p>_MOD _SUP</p>	<p>For MSH6 and PMS2 only female non-cancer gnomAD controls should be used.</p>
<p>PVS1: Predicted null variant (in a gene where LOF is a known mechanism of disease)</p>	<p>_VSTR _STR _MOD _SUP</p>	<p>Based on InSiGHT recommendations for initiation codon variants, the following PVS1 strengths apply to truncating variants identified in the first 100 bp of the MMR genes: MLH1 – very strong MSH2 – do not use MSH6 – strong PMS2 – strong</p>
<p>PS1: Same amino acid change as an established variant</p>	<p>_STR</p>	
<p>PM4: Protein-length-changing variant</p>	<p>_MOD _SUP</p>	
<p>PM5: Novel missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change determined to be pathogenic seen before</p>	<p>_MOD _SUP</p>	
<p>PP3: In silico: Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene or gene product</p>	<p>_SUP</p>	
<p>PM1, PP2: Enrichment/constraint: PP2: Missense variant in a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and in which missense variants are a common mechanism of disease PM1: Located in a mutational hot spot and/or critical and well-established functional domain (e.g. active site of an enzyme) without benign variation</p>	<p>_STR _MOD _SUP</p>	
<p>PS3: Functional: Well-established in vitro or in vivo functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on the gene or gene product</p>	<p>_VSTR _STR _MOD _SUP</p>	
<p>PP1: Co-segregation with disease in multiple affected family members in a gene definitively known to cause the disease</p>	<p>_VSTR _STR _MOD _SUP</p>	<p>Cases already used for tumour/family history scoring in PP4 cannot additionally be used for PP1</p>

PS2/PM6: De novo (maternity and paternity confirmed/unconfirmed) in a patient with the disease and no family history	_STR	
	_MOD	
	_SUP	
PM3: in trans with a pathogenic variant (recessive disorders)	_STR	A constitutional mismatch repair deficiency (CMMRD) phenotype can be used for PM3 application
	_MOD	Cases already used for tumour/family history scoring in PP4 cannot additionally be used for PM3
	_SUP	
PP5: Reputable source recently reports variant as pathogenic, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation	_VSTR	
	_STR	
	_MOD	
	_SUP	

Evidence towards Benignity

BA1/BS1: Allele frequency is “too high” in ExAC or gnomAD for disorder	_SA	BA1: MTAf = 0.001 (0.1%) BS1: MTAf = 0.0001 (0.01%) The U95%CI should be used as the filtering allele count for the MTAf. This can be calculated using cardiodb or within gnomAD (see training resources from Miranda Durkie for methodology) Cancer-free controls should be used
	_STR	
BS2: Observation in controls inconsistent with disease penetrance. Observed in a healthy adult individual for a recessive (homozygous), dominant (heterozygous), or X-linked (hemizygous) disorder, with full penetrance expected at an early age	_STR	
	_SUP	
BP4: In silico: Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product (conservation, evolutionary, splicing impact, etc.)	_SUP	
BP1: Missense variant in a gene for which primarily truncating variants are known to cause disease	_SUP	
BP7: Synonymous (silent) variant for which splicing prediction algorithms predict no impact to the splice consensus sequence	_SUP	

BP3: In-frame deletions/insertions in a repetitive region	_SUP	
BS3: Well-established <i>in vitro</i> or <i>in vivo</i> functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function or splicing	_STR	
	_MOD	
	_SUP	
BS4: Non segregation with disease	_STR	
	_SUP	
BP2: Observed in trans with a pathogenic variant for a fully penetrant dominant gene/disorder or observed in cis	_STR	
	_SUP	
BP6: Reputable source recently reports variant as benign, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation	_STR	
	_SUP	
BP5: Alternate molecular basis for disease	_SUP	

Version History/Amendments

Revised version	Date	Section	Update	Amended by	Approved by
1.4	03/11/2021	PP4/PS4	Tumour and family history scoring information combined together in PP4, tumour scoring system updated. Ordering of evidence criteria amended.	Turnbull	CStAG
1.4	02/12/2021	PS4	Addition of guidance on using NHSD data for case-control analyses	Garrett	CStAG
1.4	17/12/2021	PVS1	Addition of recommendations for truncating variants within first 100bp	Callaway	CStAG
1.4	17/12/2021	PS4/PM2	Addition of recommendation for non-cancer female controls to be used for PMS6 and PMS2	Turnbull	CStAG
1.4	05/01/2022	PM3/PP1/PP4	Clarification that a case cannot be used for PP4 if has already been used for PM3/PP1 and vice versa	Garrett	Turnbull
1.5	25/05/2022	PM2	Removal of requirement for gnomAD controls to be NFE	Garrett	CStAG

References

1. Thompson BA, Spurdle AB, Plazzer J-P, et al. Application of a five-tiered scheme for standardized classification of 2,360 unique mismatch repair gene variants lodged on the InSiGHT locus-specific database. *Nature Genetics* 2014;46(2):107-115. doi:10.1038/ng.2854 [published Online First: 2013/12/22]