

CanVIG-UK review of PTEN ClinGen Expert Group guidance. Consensus for use of the [PTEN ClinGen Expert Group guidance](#) with the additional points of specification as below.

Relevant documents:

- (i) [The ClinGen PTEN Expert Panel Specifications Version 2](#)
- (ii) A Clinical Scoring System for Selection of Patients for PTEN Mutation Testing Is Proposed on the Basis of a Prospective Study of 3042 Proband (Tan et al., 2011) - use of the Cleveland Clinic Scoring System
- (iii) Clinical likelihood ratios and balanced accuracy for 44 in silico tools against multiple large-scale functional assays of cancer susceptibility genes (Cubuk et al., 2021)

For use in conjunction with the ClinGen PTEN Expert Panel Specifications to the ACMG/AMP Variant Interpretation Guidelines Version 2. Evidence lines for which there are no gene-specific recommendations should be reviewed in context of the ClinGen PTEN Expert Panel Specifications.

Evidence towards Pathogenicity

Evidence element and evidence strengths allowed		Thresholds/data-sources/applications specifically relevant to PTEN
<p>PS4: Case-control: The prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared with the prevalence in controls</p>	<p style="background-color: red; color: white; text-align: center;">_VSTR</p> <p style="background-color: red; color: white; text-align: center;">_STR</p> <p style="background-color: yellow; text-align: center;">_MOD</p> <p style="background-color: green; text-align: center;">_SUP</p>	<p>AMENDED FROM VCEP GUIDANCE</p> <p>Using the Cleveland Clinic (CC) scoring system for phenotype specificity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adults: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1 point per proband with a Cleveland Clinic (CC) score of ≥ 25. ○ 0.5 points per proband with a CC score of 20-24. <p>Where family members of a proband attain a CC score as described above, their point score may be used in addition to achieve higher evidence strength for PS4.</p> <p>When combining phenotype scores from additional family members for PS4, at least one family member must have one of the following three critical phenotypes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Macrocephaly • Lhermitte-Duclos Disease • GI Hamartoma <p>If multiple members from the same family are used for PS4, do not apply PP1 where the same family is used as evidence for PP1 and PS4.</p> <p>Otherwise sum points towards the phenotypic specificity score, and use phenotypic specificity score ranges as per VCEP guidance. For paediatric cases, use scoring as specified in VCEP guidance.</p>
<p>PM2: Absent from controls (or at extremely low frequency if recessive) in ESP, 1000GP, or ExAC</p>	<p style="background-color: yellow; text-align: center;">_MOD</p> <p style="background-color: green; text-align: center;">_SUP</p>	<p>AS PER VCEP</p>
<p>PVS1: Predicted null variant (in a gene where LOF is a known mechanism of disease)</p>	<p style="background-color: red; color: white; text-align: center;">_VSTR</p> <p style="background-color: red; color: white; text-align: center;">_STR</p> <p style="background-color: yellow; text-align: center;">_MOD</p> <p style="background-color: green; text-align: center;">_SUP</p>	<p>AS PER VCEP</p>

PS1: Same amino acid change as an established variant	_STR	
PM4: Protein-length-changing variant	_MOD _SUP	
PM5: Novel missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change determined to be pathogenic seen before	_STR _MOD _SUP	AMENDED FROM VCEP GUIDANCE
PP3: In silico: Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene or gene product	_SUP	PP3: May be applied at SUP where REVEL \geq 0.7 (see Cubuk <i>et al.</i> , 2021, GIM)
PM1, PP2: Enrichment/constraint: PP2: Missense variant in a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and in which missense variants are a common mechanism of disease PM1: Located in a mutational hot spot and/or critical and well-established functional domain (e.g. active site of an enzyme) without benign variation	_STR _MOD _SUP	Otherwise, AS PER VCEP
PS3: Functional: Well-established in vitro or in vivo functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on the gene or gene product	_VSTR _STR _MOD _SUP	AS PER VCEP
PP1: Co-segregation with disease in multiple affected family members in a gene definitively known to cause the disease	_VSTR _STR _MOD _SUP	AS PER VCEP Note: See PS4: Case-control regarding co-usage where there are multiple affected family members.
PS2/PM6: De novo (maternity and paternity confirmed/unconfirmed) in a patient with the disease and no family history	_STR _MOD _SUP	AS PER VCEP
PM3: in trans with a pathogenic variant (recessive disorders)	_STR _MOD _SUP	DO NOT USE: AS PER VCEP
PP5: Reputable source recently reports variant as pathogenic, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation	_SUP	DO NOT USE: AS PER VCEP
PP4: Phenotypic specificity (Patient's phenotype or family history is highly specific for a disease with a single genetic aetiology)	_STR _MOD _SUP	DO NOT USE: AS PER VCEP (specificity part of PS4)

Evidence towards Benignity

BA1/BS1: Allele frequency is "too high" in ExAC or gnomAD for disorder	_SA _STR	AS PER VCEP
BS2: Observation in controls inconsistent with disease penetrance. Observed in a healthy adult individual for a recessive (homozygous), dominant (heterozygous), or X-linked (hemizygous) disorder, with full penetrance expected at an early age	_STR _SUP	AS PER VCEP
BP4: In silico: Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product (conservation, evolutionary, splicing impact, etc.)	_SUP	May be applied at SUP where REVEL \leq 0.4.

BP1: Missense variant in a gene for which primarily truncating variants are known to cause disease	_SUP	DO NOT USE: AS PER VCEP
BP7: Synonymous (silent) variant for which splicing prediction algorithms predict no impact to the splice consensus sequence	_SUP	AS PER VCEP
BP3: In-frame deletions/insertions in a repetitive region	_SUP	DO NOT USE: AS PER VCEP
BS3: Well-established <i>in vitro</i> or <i>in vivo</i> functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function or splicing	_STR _MOD _SUP	AS PER VCEP
BS4: Non segregation with disease	_STR _SUP	AS PER VCEP
BP2: Observed in trans with a pathogenic variant for a fully penetrant dominant gene/disorder or observed in cis	_STR _SUP	AS PER VCEP
BP6: Reputable source recently reports variant as benign, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation	_STR _SUP	DO NOT USE: AS PER VCEP
BP5: Alternate molecular basis for disease	_SUP	AS PER VCEP

Revised version	Date	Section	Update	Amended by	Approved by
1.0	01/11/2022	All	Original Version: draft	Allen	Turnbull